

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 SCOTT ALLAN JONES,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 SHERIFF JOE LOMBARDO, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:22-cv-01369-APG-DJA

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 17]

8 On June 14, 2023, Magistrate Judge Albregts recommended that I dismiss this case
9 because plaintiff Scott Jones did not file an amended complaint by the given deadline. ECF No.
10 17. Jones did not object. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and
11 recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo
12 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is
13 made”); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the
14 district judge must review the magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if*
15 *objection is made*, but not otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

16 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Albregts’ report and recommendation
17 (ECF No. 17) is accepted and this case is dismissed without prejudice. The clerk of court is
18 instructed to close this case.

19 DATED this 5th day of July, 2023.

20 

21 ANDREW P. GORDON
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23